

arxiv:2602.03837v3 · exact source version · A4

Accelerating Scientific Research with Gemini: Case Studies and Common Techniques

A status-bearing record of source identity, reported contributions, limitations, provenance, validation, and change.

Pilot reconstruction. Independent peer review is not asserted; author attestation is absent.			
Exact version	v3 · 06 Mar 2026	Extent	154 source pages
Coverage	8 of 17 sections mapped	DOI	10.48550/arXiv.2602.03837
Record cutoff	28 Aug 2026	Edition ID	edition:record:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:2026-08-28

This edition typesets the Legalarxiv research record for exact source version v3. It does not reproduce or replace the 154-page source manuscript. Page numbers refer to this Legalarxiv edition, not the source PDF.

01

SOURCE IDENTITY

Authors and responsibility

01	David P. Woodruff corresponding · section contributor · advanced-model contributor	02	Vincent Cohen-Addad section contributor · advanced-model contributor
03	Lalit Jain advanced-model contributor	04	Jieming Mao advanced-model contributor
05	Song Zuo section contributor · advanced-model contributor	06	MohammadHossein Bateni section contributor
07	Simina Brânzei section contributor	08	Michael P. Brenner section contributor
09	Lin Chen section contributor	10	Ying Feng section contributor
11	Lance Fortnow section contributor	12	Gang Fu section contributor
13	Ziyi Guan section contributor	14	Zahra Hadizadeh section contributor
15	Mohammad T. Hajiaghayi section contributor	16	Mahdi JafariRaviz section contributor
17	Adel Javanmard section contributor	18	Karthik C. S. section contributor
19	Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi section contributor	20	Ravi Kumar section contributor
21	Silvio Lattanzi section contributor	22	Euiwoong Lee section contributor

23	Yi Li section contributor	24	Ioannis Panageas section contributor
25	Dimitris Paparas section contributor	26	Benjamin Przybocki section contributor
27	Bernardo Subercaseaux section contributor	28	Ola Svensson section contributor
29	Shayan Taherijam section contributor	30	Xuan Wu section contributor
31	Eylon Yogev section contributor	32	Morteza Zadimoghaddam section contributor
33	Samson Zhou section contributor	34	Yossi Matias author
35	James Manyika author	36	Vahab Mirrokni corresponding · section contributor · advanced-model contributor

Authors marked as individual-section contributors are responsible only for the correctness of their attributed section; model-variant contribution is a separate source role.

Author abstract

Recent advances in large language models (LLMs) have opened new avenues for accelerating scientific research. While models are increasingly capable of assisting with routine tasks, their ability to contribute to novel, expert-level mathematical discovery is less understood.

We present a collection of case studies demonstrating how researchers have successfully collaborated with advanced AI models, specifically Google’s Gemini-based models (in particular Gemini Deep Think and its advanced variants), to solve open problems, refute conjectures, and generate new proofs across diverse areas in theoretical computer science, as well as other areas such as economics, optimization, and physics.

Based on these experiences, we extract common techniques for effective human-AI collaboration in theoretical research, such as iterative refinement, problem decomposition, and cross-disciplinary knowledge transfer. While the majority of our results stem from this interactive, conversational methodology, we also highlight specific instances that push beyond standard chat interfaces.

These include deploying the model as a rigorous adversarial reviewer to detect subtle flaws in existing proofs, and embedding it within a “neuro-symbolic” loop that autonomously writes and executes code to verify complex derivations. Together, these examples highlight the potential of AI not just as a tool for automation, but as a versatile, genuine partner in the creative process of scientific discovery.

Woodruff et al., arXiv:2602.03837v3, abstract. Source text is distinct from the platform analysis that follows.

Orientation and inferential boundary

Source reports	Selected source-authored cases report material model contributions within expert-directed theoretical research.
Does not establish	The collection does not estimate reliability, frequency, causal acceleration, comparative quality, or generalization.

Reported contributions • Reports episodes involving counterexamples, proof routes, flaw detection, code, and branch exploration. • Describes human work in framing, context supply, source retrieval, correction, selection, verification, adoption, and release custody. • Proposes recurring techniques for human-AI theoretical research and records several failure modes.	Material limits • The cases are selected; the full denominator of projects, prompts, branches, and abandoned investigations is unavailable. • The paper does not estimate counterfactual time, quality, cost, or novelty against the same researchers working without the models. • Several model variants and process details are not publicly replayable, limiting outside reproduction and provider-independent evaluation. • A selected existence case cannot by itself support frequency, comparative, causal, generalization, or system-impact claims.
---	---

Contribution threshold. A model contribution becomes citable here only when it is material, specific, evidenced, adopted by responsible people, and accompanied by its validation state, limitations, and selection context. The model is not an author and bears no responsibility.

04

NO COMPOSITE TRUST SCORE

Status and validation

Target	Asserted by	Checked
version:arxiv-2602-03837-v3	Legalarxiv pilot · agent:legalarxiv-pilot	28 Aug 2026
Deposit	Source Preprint V3 Scope. source identity and release relationship Basis. Public arXiv abstract and version pages for arXiv:2602.03837v3. Known gaps. None stated for this assertion.	The exact source manuscript is arXiv version 3, submitted 6 March 2026.
Archive Record	Pilot Reconstruction Scope. Legalarxiv record Basis. Platform extraction and interpretation of public source materials. Known gaps. author attestation; complete passage reconstruction; mirrored source artifact	The platform reconstructed this record from public materials; authors have not attested it here.
Validation	Heterogeneous Claim Specific Scope. collection-level orientation only; rely on claim or case status Basis. The source describes different validation processes for different episodes. Known gaps. independent theorem-by-theorem platform review	Validation is recorded separately for each episode; no aggregate correctness score is provided.
Peer Review	Not Asserted Scope. work version Basis. No work-wide independent peer-review conclusion is established by the pilot sources. Known gaps. venue-review history outside the inspected record	This pilot makes no work-wide claim of independent peer review.
Currentness	Checked Through 2026 08 28 For Pilot Sources Scope. listed source and version relationships Basis. Manual pilot source audit. Known gaps. continuous monitoring; unlisted downstream corrections	Pilot source and version relationships were reviewed through the as-of date; this is not continuous monitoring.
Record-level validation state		
Target	Current state	Basis or gap
Source deposit	Exact arXiv v3 source identified	Public manuscript and version record
Section inventory	17 source sections; 8 reconstructed notes	All 17 indexed; 9 not yet reconstructed
Episode results	Heterogeneous; see each case	Author checks, expert review, code, or unresolved
Technical correctness	Not independently verified by this pilot	No platform theorem-by-theorem review
Attempt population	Incomplete	No complete denominator for the selected collection
Process reproduction	Partial or blocked	Internal models and incomplete traces
Pilot reconstruction	Machine-assisted; human review not recorded	Author attestation not yet obtained

Technical source structure

All 17 top-level technical source sections are represented. Eight have reconstructed notes; nine remain explicitly indexed only. Section count is not atomic episode count.

3.1	Online Algorithms: Submodular Welfare Morteza Zadimoghaddam, Vahab Mirrokni passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS1	Mapped note
3.2	Cryptography: AI-Assisted Bug Detection in SNARGs Ziyi Guan, David P. Woodruff, Eylon Yogev passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS2	Mapped note
4.1	Approximation Algorithms: Max-Cut Euiwoong Lee passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S4.SS1	Mapped note
4.2	Computational Geometry: Steiner Trees Karthik C. S. passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S4.SS2	Mapped note
4.3	Graph Theory: Computing Perfect Matchings in Regular Bipartite Graphs Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S4.SS3	Mapped note
5.1	Search vs. Decision in S_2^P Lance Fortnow passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S5.SS1	Mapped note
6.1	Physics: Cosmic String Spectra Michael P. Brenner, Vincent Cohen-Addad, David P. Woodruff passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S6.SS1	Mapped note
7.1	Graph Theory: Biclique Partitions Benjamin Przytycki, Bernardo Subercaseaux passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S7.SS1	Indexed only
7.2	Query Complexity: Local Search on General Graphs Simina Brânzei, Ioannis Panageas, Dimitris Paparas passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S7.SS2	Indexed only
7.3	Robust Coresets Yi Li, David P. Woodruff, Xuan Wu passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S7.SS3	Indexed only
7.4	Submodular Function Maximization in a Stream Ola Svensson passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S7.SS4	Indexed only
7.5	Streaming Algorithms: Entropy, Low Rank Approximation, and Chamfer Distance Ying Feng, David P. Woodruff, Samson Zhou passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S7.SS5	Indexed only
8.1	Information Theory: The Courtade–Kumar Conjecture Adel Javanmard passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S8.SS1	Mapped note
8.2	NP-hardness: Ratio Difference Maximization (RDM) Ravi Kumar and Silvio Lattanzi, on behalf of coauthors passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S8.SS2	Indexed only
8.3	Machine Learning Optimization: Self-regularized Gumbel Sigmoid Lin Chen, Gang Fu, David P. Woodruff passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S8.SS3	Indexed only

8.4	Mechanism Design: Revelation Principle Reduction Domain Extension Song Zuo, David P. Woodruff passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:Sx2.SS4	Indexed only
8.5	Networked Information Aggregation for Binary Classification MohammadHossein Bateni, Zahra Hadizadeh, MohammadTaghi Hajiaghayi, Mahdi JafariRaviz, and Shayan Taherijam passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:Sx2.SS5	Indexed only

06

EIGHT MAPPED NOTES

Case notes

Each note separates the source-reported result, model role, human intervention, validation, access, and material warning.

3.1	REFUTATION
Online Algorithms: Submodular Welfare	
A small counterexample is reported to refute a human conjecture.	
AI role	Counterexample generator and calculator
Human intervention	Problem framing, search constraints, and independent arithmetic inspection
Validation	Author-reported human check of a fully specified mathematical instance
Access	Construction and calculations public; attempt population unavailable
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS1
Material warning. The instance establishes one mathematical refutation. It does not estimate how often comparable attempts succeed.	

3.2	REVIEW
Cryptography: AI-Assisted Bug Detection in SNARGs	
Iterative adversarial review is reported to identify a fatal definition-construction mismatch.	
AI role	Adversarial technical reviewer
Human intervention	Human cryptography experts isolated the decisive issue and conveyed it to the affected authors
Validation	Named expert review followed by author acknowledgment and revision, as reported
Access	Prompting process partly described
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS2
Material warning. The record supports a specific flaw report and response; it does not establish a general model-review reliability rate.	

4.1	PROOF ROUTE
Approximation Algorithms: Max-Cut	
A cross-disciplinary measure-theoretic proof route is reported.	

AI role	Interdisciplinary theorem retriever and proof collaborator
Human intervention	Source retrieval, dependency checking, and editing
Validation	Author-checked mathematical argument, as reported
Access	External theorem dependencies are named but not yet atomically linked here
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S4.SS1

Material warning. The source dependencies and division between model-written and human-edited reasoning require finer passage provenance.

4.2

PROOF ROUTE

Computational Geometry: Steiner Trees

The reported proof uses Kirszbraun’s theorem after a problem de-identification treatment.

AI role	Cross-domain theorem identification
Human intervention	Problem de-identification, prompting, source checks, novelty checks, and proof review
Validation	Human mathematical checking, as reported
Access	Different model settings are described; earlier attempts are incomplete
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S4.SS2

Material warning. Problem de-identification is an experimental treatment that affects novelty, reproducibility, and contamination analysis.

4.3

MIXED RESULT

Graph Theory: Computing Perfect Matchings in Regular Bipartite Graphs

A proved bound and research roadmap were later recontextualized by missed prior work.

AI role	Proof and roadmap collaborator
Human intervention	Verification, adoption, and post-release prior-art correction
Validation	Mixed: proved result, speculative roadmap, and external correction
Access	Novelty context changed in v2
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S4.SS3

Material warning. The proved result must remain separate from the roadmap; added Gurvits prior work materially changed novelty context.

5.1

MIXED RESULT

Search vs. Decision in S_2^P

An AI-integrated IDE is reported to draft a paper through eight substantive prompts.

AI role	IDE agent and proof drafter
Human intervention	Strategy, correction of an invalid assumption, verification, and release custody
Validation	Human-reviewed with linked supporting files, as reported

Access	Public files; transcript described as lightly edited
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S5.SS1
Material warning. Human authors retain responsibility. The invalid assumption and its human correction are material provenance, not incidental process detail.	

6.1

AGENTIC SEARCH

Physics: Cosmic String Spectra

A model, tree search, and Python feedback loop are reported to find six analytical methods.

AI role	Branch-generating neuro-symbolic search system
Human intervention	Objective design, baseline construction, interpretation, and adoption
Validation	Computational comparison and human analysis, as reported
Access	About 600 branches and over 80% pruning are reported; the model is not publicly reproducible
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S6.SS1

Material warning. Rejected routes, code, tracebacks, selection logic, and public-model reproduction are needed to interpret the reported success.

8.1

MIXED RESULT

Information Theory: The Courtade–Kumar Conjecture

The reported branches include complete, improved, partial, and incorrect results.

AI role	Proof investigator across multiple branches
Human intervention	Error detection, branch correction, and completion-state judgment
Validation	Heterogeneous and branch-specific
Access	A failed hypercontractivity branch is described
Version-pinned source	passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S8.SS1

Material warning. The incorrect inequality branch is retained alongside successful results; validation belongs to each branch, not the work as a whole.

07

ONE COMPLETE EXEMPLARY PATH

Claim trace

01 · CLAIM

The source presents a three-item, two-agent submodular-welfare instance whose calculated residual gain violates the cited Move-vs-Copy conjecture.

existence and refutation of the stated inequality for the constructed instance

02 · EXACT SOURCE PASSAGES

Process, construction, and calculation are separately anchored.

model and human process report passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS1.SSSx1.p3

counterexample construction passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS1.SSSx5.p1

calculation and refutation passage:arxiv-2602-03837-v3:S3.SS1.SSSx8.p1

03 · EVIDENCE

A valuation table and six-permutation calculation report LHS = 122.6/6 and RHS = 121.8/6.

Platform recheck: not performed.

04 · AI CONTRIBUTION

Gemini-based model, as reported by the section authors

Selected small dimensions, proposed the valuations and epsilon, and generated the verification calculations without further interaction, according to the source.

05 · HUMAN CONTRIBUTION AND ADOPTION

Morteza Zadimoghaddam and Vahab Mirrokni

Defined the task and search parameters, independently checked the arithmetic, adopted the result, and accepted section-scoped responsibility.

06 · EVALUATION

source supported not independently reverified

The public source supplies the construction and reports independent arithmetic checking by the human researchers.

07 · VERSION EVENT

Bound to exact source v3

The trace resolves against the cited v3 passages and does not silently float to a later source version.

Known gaps

- The platform has not independently re-run or formally verified the calculation.
- The example proves existence and refutes the stated inequality; it is not evidence about model success frequency or comparative research acceleration.
- The full prompt, sampling settings, rejected attempts, and attempt denominator are unavailable.
- The result does not resolve the tight approximation bound discussed by the source.

Version and status history

v1	2026-02-03	Initial public release. baseline release <i>Introduced the public source family and baseline claims.</i>
v2	2026-02-16	Two authors added; discussion updated; Gurvits prior work discussed; cosmic-string analysis clarified. credit and responsibility · prior art and novelty · technical result · framing <i>Changed attribution and novelty context; dependent summaries require re-evaluation.</i>
v3	2026-03-06	Color-description cleanup, a cosmic-string follow-up citation, and minor wording edits. accessibility and presentation · continuation · framing · minor text <i>Created the exact version represented by this record; v2 projections must not masquerade as v3.</i>

09

GENERATED FROM CANONICAL IDENTITY

Citation, rights, and access

Cite the source version

David P. Woodruff et al., “Accelerating Scientific Research with Gemini: Case Studies and Common Techniques,” arXiv:2602.03837v3 [cs.CL] (6 Mar. 2026), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.03837v3> (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2602.03837>).

Cite the archive record

Legalarxiv, “Research record for arxiv:2602.03837v3,” as of 28 Aug. 2026, <https://legalarxiv.org/works/arxiv-2602-03837/v3>. Pilot reconstruction; not independent mathematical peer review.

Cite this edition

Legalarxiv, “Research record edition for arxiv:2602.03837v3,” record cutoff 28 Aug. 2026, <https://legalarxiv.org/works/arxiv-2602-03837/v3/print>.

Source HTML	https://arxiv.org/html/2602.03837v3
Source PDF	https://arxiv.org/pdf/2602.03837v3
Canonical record	https://legalarxiv.org/works/arxiv-2602-03837/v3
Machine record	https://legalarxiv.org/api/v1/works/arxiv-2602-03837/versions/v3
Rights	Source: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International. Pilot-authored metadata is intended for CC0 release where lawful.

EDITION SCOPE

This edition typesets the Legalarxiv research record for exact source version v3. It does not reproduce or replace the 154-page source manuscript.

Canonical edition	https://legalarxiv.org/works/arxiv-2602-03837/v3/print
Fixity manifest	https://legalarxiv.org/editions/legalarxiv-print-manifest.v1.json
Coverage	8 mapped notes · 17 indexed source sections
Status	Pilot reconstruction · no independent mathematical peer review · no author attestation